nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the NRC staff concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action. Denial of the application would result in no changes to current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternate Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2, documented in NUREG-0884.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, the staff consulted with the State of Ohio regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The state of Ohio official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letters dated September 27, 1993, and December 16, 1994, which are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20555, and at the local public document room located at the Perry Public Library, 3753 Main Street, Perry, Ohio 44081.

Dated at Rockville, MD., this 28th day of February 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Jon B. Hopkins, Sr.,

Project Manager, Project Directorate III-3, Division of Reactor Project—III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 95–5493 Filed 3–6–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–M

Advisory Committee on Nuclear Waste; Meeting

The Advisory Committee on Nuclear Waste (ACNW) will hold its 72nd meeting on March 15–16, 1995, in Room

T–2B1, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland. The meeting will be open to public attendance, with the exception of portions that may be closed to discuss information and release of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 522b(c)(6).

The agenda for this meeting shall be as follows: Wednesday and Thursday, March 15 and 16, 1995—8:30 a.m. until 6 p.m.

During this meeting the Committee plans to consider the following:

A. DOE's Program Approach—The Committee will hear presentations and hold discussions with representatives of the Department of Energy and the NRC staff on DOE's program approach for a site suitability determination at the Yucca Mountain site.

B. DOE's Engineered Barrier System Program—The Committee will hear presentations and hold discussions with representatives of the Department of Energy and the NRC staff of DOE's efforts to design an engineered-barrier system for the proposed high-level radioactive waste repository.

C. Disposal of Baghouse Dirt—The Committee will hear a presentation by the NRC staff on the issues associated with the management and disposal of the mixed waste which is created when scrap steel being recycled in an electric arc furnace becomes inadvertently contaminated by a cesium source. The Florida Steel Corporation will also address the Committee on this issue.

D. Branch Technical Position on Low-Level Radioactive Waste Performance Assessment—The Committee will review issues associated with the NRC staff's Branch Technical Position on Low-Level Radioactive Waste Performance Assessment as outlined in a recent Commission paper.

E. Groundwater Travel Time—The Committee will review the approach proposed by the NRC staff for compliance evaluation associated with the groundwater travel time requirement in 10 CFR part 60. Presentations will also be made by ACNW consultants regarding their views on this topic.

F. Meet with the Director, NRC's Division of Waste Management, Nuclear Materials Safety & Safeguards—The Director will provide information to the Committee on current waste management issues, which may include the status of site characterization work at the Yucca Mountain site, and preview staff development of a technical position on expert judgment.

G. Radiological Criteria for Decommissioning (tentative)—The Committee will hear presentations and hold discussions with the NRC staff on a proposed rule on radiological criteria for decommissioning of NRC licensed facilities. A comparison will be made of this proposed rule and EPA's proposed Standards for Land Disposal of Low-Level Radioactive Waste.

H. Preparation of ACNW Reports— The Committee will discuss proposed reports on issues considered during this meeting and, as time permits, safety goals applicable to nuclear waste disposal and generic issues involving the direction of radioactive waste research.

I. Committee Activities/Future Agenda—The Committee will consider topics proposed for future consideration by the full Committee and working groups. The Committee will also discuss organizational and personnel matters related to the selection of new ACNW members and ACNW staff. A portion of this session may be closed to public attendance to discuss information the release of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(6).

J. Miscellaneous—The Committee will discuss miscellaneous matters related to the conduct of Committee activities and organizational activities and complete discussion of matters and specific issues that were not completed during previous meetings, as time and availability of information permit.

Procedures for the conduct of and participation in ACNW meetings were published in the Federal Register on October 7, 1994 (59 FR 51219). In accordance with these procedures, oral or written statements may be presented by members of the public, electronic recordings will be permitted only during those portions of the meeting that are open to the public, and questions may be asked only by members of the Committee, its consultants, and staff. Persons desiring to make oral statements should notify the ACNW Executive Director, Dr. John T. Larkins, as far in advance as practicable so that appropriate arrangements can be made to allow the necessary time during the meeting for such statements. Use of still, motion picture, and television cameras during this meeting may be limited to selected portions of the meeting as determined by the ACNW Chairman. Information regarding the time to be set aside for this purpose may be obtained by contacting the ACNW Executive Director prior to the meeting. In view of the possibility that the schedule for ACNW meetings maybe adjusted by the Chairman as necessary to facilitate the conduct of the meeting, persons planning to attend should check with the ACNW Executive

Director if such rescheduling would result in major inconvenience.

Further information regarding topics to be discussed, whether the meeting has been cancelled or rescheduled, the Chairman's ruling on requests for the opportunity to present oral statements and the time allotted therefor can be obtained by contacting the ACNW Executive Director, Dr. John T. Larkins (telephone 301/415–7360), between 7:30 a.m. and 4:15 p.m. EST.

Dated: February 28, 1995. Andrew L. Bates, Advisory Committee Management Officer. [FR Doc. 95–5496 Filed 3–6–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–M

Regulatory Information Conference

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The objectives of the conference are to give the licensees and the public insights into our approach to safety regulations and to provide a forum for feedback from those in attendance on their concerns about our overall approach, as well as feedback on differences that may exist on technical issues. NRC staff will provide information regarding on-going programs and potential new initiatives as a basis for discussion.

Discussions will proceed from general (i.e., the plenary sessions) to specific issues (i.e., the breakout sessions), with emphasis on plant operations and the NRC view of these operations based on experience in carrying out its regulatory mission. Three plenary sessions are planned, two of which will be followed by breakout sessions that will include presentations by the NRC staff and industry representatives.

DATES: Conference will be held May 9–10, 1995.

ADDRESSES: The conference will be held at the Crowne Plaza Hotel, 1750 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852. Telephone: (301) 468–1100 FAX (301) 468–0163 (Refer to Group REG).

FOR REGISTRATION INFORMATION CONTACT: ES Inc., by facsimile on (202) 835–0118 or by phone on (202) 835–1585.

PARTICIPATION: This conference is open to the general public; however, advance registration is required by April 24, 1995. The following is the preliminary program for the conference:

Tuesday, May 9, 1995—(8:30 a.m.-5:15 p.m.)

Welcome and Introductory Remarks—
William T. Russell, Director Office of
Nuclear Reactor Regulation

- 2. Morning Plenary Session: Regulatory Trends
- 3. Breakout Sessions:
 - 1. On-Line Maintenance and Maintenance Rule
 - 2. Steam Generator Issues
 - 3. Probabilistic Risk Assessment Policy and Implementation Plan
 - 4. 10 CFR Part 54—License Renewal Rulemaking and 10 CFR Part 51— Environmental Protection Rulemaking for License Renewal
- 4. Post-Luncheon Speaker: Commissioner Kenneth C. Rogers
- 5. Afternoon Plenary Session: Regional Administrator Panel Issues:
 - Inspection Planning, Oversight, and Followup
- 2. Cost Reduction and Safety
- 3. Feedback Report on Inspector Professionalism
- 4. Systematic Assessment of Licensee Performance Process
- 6. Breakout Sessions:
 - 1. Outage Planning and Shutdown Risk
 - 2. 10 CFR Part 52—Advanced Reactors/ Design Certification
 - 3. Regulatory Process Improvements (Commitment Management and Cost Beneficial Licensing Actions)
- 4. Boiling Water Reactor Internals Cracking
- 7. Dinner Speaker: Commissioner E. Gail de Planque

Wednesday, May 10, 1995—(8:00 a.m.-4:30 p.m.)

- 1. Breakout Sessions:
 - NRC/Licensee Interface and Communications, Region I
 - 2. NRC/Licensee Interface and Communications, Region II
- 3. NRC/Licensee Interface and Communications, Region III
- 4. NRC/Licensee Interface and Communications, Region IV
- 2. Breakout Sessions:
- 1. Reactor Vessel Material Issues
- 2. Spent Fuel Issues/Dry Cask Storage/ Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installations/Palisades and Davis Besse Experience
- New Source Term (Design Certification Application and Future Applications to Operating Licenses)
- 4. Security Issues
- 3. Post Luncheon Speaker: James M. Taylor, Executive Director for Operations
- 4. Breakout Sessions:
 - 1. New Approach to Assessing Performance Through Inspection—the Integrated Performance Assessment Process (IPAP, formerly CIPP)
 - 2. Self Assessment (Inspection Procedures & Lessons Learned)
 - 3. Standard Technical Specifications
- 4. Enforcement (Notice of Enforcement Discretions) and Allegations

Closing Plenary Session: NRR Executive Team and Regional Administrators

Note: There will be a question and answer period after each session each day.

Next year's conference is scheduled for April 10–11, 1996, at the Capitol Hilton Hotel, Washington, DC.

Dated in Rockville, Maryland this 2nd day of March 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Gary G. Zech,

Chief, Planning, Program, and Management Support Branch, Inspection and Support Programs, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 95-5551 Filed 3-6-95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

[Docket No. 50-245]

Northeast Utilities Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1, License No. DPR-21; Receipt of Petitions for Director's Decision Under 10 CFR 2.206

Notice is hereby given that Anthony J. Ross (Petitioner) has filed four Petitions with the Executive Director for Operations requesting that escalated enforcement action be taken with regard to alleged violations at Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1.

By Petition dated December 30, 1994, the Petitioner requests that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC)(1) "force" Northeast Utilities (NU) to review all existing work orders for the past 10 or 12 years, with NRC oversight, to ensure that no quality assurance (QA) motor and connection work has certain deficiencies; (2) assess a Severity Level I violation against NU and its managers for apparent violations of 10 CFR 50.7 and a Severity Level III violation against a gas turbine system engineer at Millstone for his apparent violation of 10 CFR 50.7 and the company's "Code of Conduct and Ethics"; and (3) institute sanctions against the system engineer and NU and its managers for engaging in deliberate misconduct in violation of 10 CFR 50.5. As grounds for these requests, the Petitioner asserts that (1) work control and procedure compliance are inadequate at Millstone, as evidenced by the use of standard commercial-grade lugs in a gas turbine fuel forwarding pump and motor (QA subsystems of the emergency gas turbine generator) that had apparently been crimped using diagonal pliers; improper Raychem splices, cable bend radius, and connections in the connection boxes of major safety-related QA equipment; and non-QA lugs crimped improperly and installed in fire protection quality assurance emergency lights; and (2) he had been subjected to ridicule by the gas turbine system engineer for raising concerns regarding the lugs on the gas turbine fuel forwarding pump and motor.

By Petition dated January 2, 1995, the Petitioner requests that the NRC: (1) Assess a Severity Level II violation and a Severity Level III violation against his department manager and first line